

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION**

William Tyrone Ross Bey,
Plaintiff

v.

Case No. 1:11-cv-289

AT&T,
Defendant

ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation filed May 27, 2011 (Doc. 7).

Proper notice has been given to the parties under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), including notice that the parties would waive further appeal if they failed to file objections to the Report and Recommendation in a timely manner. See United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981). As of the date of this Order, no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation have been filed.

Having reviewed this matter de novo pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, we find the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation correct.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is hereby **ADOPTED**. Plaintiff's complaint is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

This Court certifies that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) an appeal of this Order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore DENIES plaintiff leave to appeal *in forma pauperis*. *See Callihan v. Schneider*, 178 F.3d 800, 803 (6th Cir. 1999), overruling in part *Floyd v. United States Postal Serv.*, 105 F.3d 274, 277 (6th Cir. 1997).

Date: June 27, 2011

s/Sandra S. Beckwith
Sandra S. Beckwith, Senior Judge
United States District Court